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A Six-Pack of Epiphanies:
What Treatment Court team members find out when they learn Motivational Interviewing

1. We can make our work harder. If you push, the program participant pushes back. “Getting right to it” and telling a program participant how to solve their problems only lengthens our work.

2. Much can be covered in a 10 minute encounter.

3. Behavior change is driven by motivation, not information. “We only change people who give us permission to do so.”

4. Almost every piece of advice you might offer has already been thought about, mulled over, and rejected by your program person.

5. Participants will share a lot, quickly, with empathic, attentive listeners.

6. Motivated people solve their own barriers, including those facing mental health and/or AOD challenges.

Reniscow 2007
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In general, Motivational Interviewing (MI) is most useful:

- **(1) When the goal is an observable behavior change.**
  
  MI is a tool for increasing motivation around change. If your goal is primarily to educate, provide information, or gather information, MI is not necessarily the tool. Many of the basic listening skills may be helpful, but the “directional” components of MI are less applicable.

- **(2) When the person is more resistant, angry, or reluctant to change.**
  
  Some program staff take the stance that MI is best for their cooperative participants, but for challenging probationers it’s best to use a tough, directive approach. The research suggests just the opposite. Easy clients tend to do well no matter what style you use, but more resistant people benefit more from an MI approach (relative to educational or confrontational approaches). *MI was designed for clients who are more reluctant to change.* When clients are doing well and they want your advice, or simply need help with planning, a direct, advice-giving style may be enough.

- **(3) When the interviewer can separate him/herself from the program participant’s attitude, actions, or consequences.**
  
  As every successful practitioner knows, the first step in working successfully with a difficult treatment court client is to separate yourself from the person’s own choices. Though you are very willing to assist the person through referrals, advice or assistance, there ought to be a clear understanding that it is the program participant’s responsibility to take action. You don’t take on yourself, MI helps you – to help them – to take this on for themselves.
All within the context of a court-mandated process

- Punishment and therapy are used in a collaborative process
  - Not positioned as contradictory goals.
  - Sanctions are thought to "augment the treatment process"

- The use of punishment, supervision and sanctions – coupled with treatment – becomes a defining description of treatment courts.

What to do / What not to do

- The premise of this breakout
- To advance the understanding...
  - There are limits to a coercive approach.
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MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING WITH OFFENDERS
Engagement, Rehabilitation, and Reentry

What to do

Motivational Interviewing with Offenders
Engagement, Rehabilitation, and Reentry
Jill D. Stinson and Michael D. Clark

What not to do

A change of heart cannot be imposed, it must be chosen
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trap</th>
<th>What NOT to Say</th>
<th>What TO Say</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Premature Focus Trap”</td>
<td>“This problem with your spouse…”</td>
<td>Ultimately you’re the one who has to decide if or how this issue with your spouse will continue. What do you think is the next step for you?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WHY: Identifies the situation as a “problem” before the (P) has labeled it as such.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trap on Change (per the Judge’s agenda)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Confrontational-Denial Trap”</td>
<td>You need to stop making excuses and start this anger management course.</td>
<td>How would things be better for you if you found a way to stop all this trouble? There’s a part of you that doesn’t feel you need this, but I’ve also heard a part of you that’s tired of this trouble.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WHY: Sets up an antagonistic relationship, encourages P to give the counterargument.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“The Labeling Trap”</td>
<td>“C’mon look at your history! Admit it, you’re an alcoholic”</td>
<td>Labels are not important right now. What is important is what you think. Let’s move past what some people are calling you. I want to hear your thoughts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WHY: See above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“The Blaming Trap”</td>
<td>Why did you go to that party when you knew it was going to get you in trouble?</td>
<td>It sounds like that situation really got you in trouble. The police didn’t believe you. Now you’re here. What’s your next step(s)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Did you really think that the police were going to buy that argument?</td>
<td>You believe you got “railroaded” and unfairly convicted by the court. That leaves you frustrated. They placed you in our Treatment Court, so what can we do to finish and get dismissed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WHY: Questions in this format encourage the P to give arguments in support of past behavior.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Why” questions are actually “who” questions that look to place blame and the session spirals downward</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“The Expert Trap.”</td>
<td>You don’t have a job because you’re not putting in enough applications.</td>
<td>What ideas do you have as to how you might get a job?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giving Unsolicited Advice</td>
<td></td>
<td>If you decided you wanted to put in a job application, how would you go about that?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acting as though the problem would be solved if the program participant would just “listen to reason.”</td>
<td>Getting a job can be difficult. It’s hard to get into action. So, let’s back up. When you think of getting a job, what do you think about?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Installing – not eliciting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“The Question-Answer Trap”</td>
<td>Using repeated questions without the use of OARS interspaced.</td>
<td>Avoid the “triple-trouble rule” which cautions a Judge not to use more than 3 questions without a reflection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Why a trap? Judge is doing the talking. P is placed in a passive role of answering.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Today's Techniques - Consider the 3 Waves in the history of "treatment"

1st Wave: Freud / birth of Psychology
- Personality is sealed - Problems stem from deep intrapsychic issues.

2nd Wave: Rise of Casework / birth of Social Work
- Problems are found in everyday living / personality was thought to be fluid and impacted by both nature and nurture
- Two economic events: 1946 Veterans Admin + 1947 NIH

3rd Wave = Strength-based Practice (client-centered), Brief Solution-Focused Therapies and MI
- Two things remained the same for both waves...

Treatment research highlights Motivational Interviewing and the 3rd Wave helping

Staff Behavior ➔ Client Engagement

1,000+ research studies cited that a positive alliance was one of the best predictors of outcome. (Orlinsky, Ronnestad & Willutzki, 2004).

The change attributable to the alliance is 6x to 7x greater than that of specific models or techniques. (Wampold, 2001)

MI and its ability to help justice staff build the critical relationships with offenders is used in most Correctional Treatments — but often MI does not get the credit.

- Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS)
- Staff Training Aimed at Reducing Re-arrest (STARR)
- Cognitive-Behavioral Treatments (CBT)
- Thinking for a Change (T4C)
- Strategic Trg. Initiative in Comm. Supervision (STICS)
- Core Correctional Practices (CCP)
- Multi-system Therapy (MST)
- Functional Family Therapy (FFT)

3rd Wave = SBP & Motivational Interviewing
- Shift in expertise and four significant differences gave rise to Brief Therapy:
  1. Don't have to know a lot about the problem to begin work at solving it
  2. Emphasis on strengths and resources
  3. Respectful partnership
  4. Hopeful eye to the future

Techniques from the Brief Therapy models & Motivational Interviewing
- 3 Types
  (A) Who are experiencing trouble or regressing,
  (B) For participants who are losing hope or are overwhelmed
  (C) Several techniques for participants who have made recent progress.
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Remember the two-sides to ambivalence

A. Who are experiencing trouble or regressing

- Remember, the person's not the problem...
- More specifically, it's the person's relationship to the problem
  - Being "stuck"

Most positive behavior change occurs as a process—where the offender grapples with these questions—in this order:

Should I? Can I? Will I? How Do I?

Values/beliefs compared to Behavior/actions

Discrepancy

Values: Ideal vs. Behavior: Real

Not between you; but within the person

"painful present" examines conflict to the valued, important and cherished

1. Survival Questions

- People learn more from mistakes - Explore the lessons from adversity
  - "How have you survived thus far?"
- "What did these troubles teach you about what to do now?"
  - Setbacks are guides, not brakes
- This question aids learning about their environment, external resources and hope.
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2. Exception Questions
- Clients don't notice exceptions
- "Hermit"
- "Purposeful" exceptions

3. Getting Unstuck / "Two Doors Metaphor"
- Mindset to kill, eliminate, defeat the problem
- "Two doors" metaphor
- It's okay to feel shy and it's okay to go to the group therapy anyway
- "It's okay to feel hopeless and it's okay to keep going"
- "It's okay to feel like you can't do it and it's okay to just keep coming back & try again"

4. "Split Brain"
   Place Bets On Competency
- I'm really split about your near future, "1/2 of me"....
- Leave it with -
  "Part of me feels that you're up to something big!"

5. Refer To The Problem As The "Outside Enemy"
- "John, when that 'hassle' of talking back to staff tells you to get into trouble, how will you not listen to it next time?"
- "Mary, when 'being stupid' tells you it's ok to miss important urine drops, how will you fight that so it doesn't hurt you next time?"
- Don't externalize feelings, only behavior

6. Percentage Questions
- "Bill, how much of this is you're never going to change or that you're just stuck right now?"
- "How much is this that program staff is against you or how much is it that you just had a bad experience?"
- "Can't stay away from your using buddies or that you just haven't really sat down and talked with someone to figure out a way yet?"
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7. Double-Sided Reflection

- You don’t want to attend that program ‘cause you don’t think it’ll help
- But you do recognize there are some problems

8. Relationship Questions

- Defendant’s own perceptions of themselves (good)
- Defendant’s belief of others perceptions of themselves (better)

9. Repeat It Back (Write it down!)

- Defendants seldom leave our courtrooms with the exact info that are presented to them.
- Consider how un-together they can be for multiple reasons.
- Help them out as best you can by asking them to repeat “what’s important” and writing these down for them to take with them and refer to.

10. Re-describe and Blame for Success.

- How did you do this?
  - How did you know that would work?
- How did you manage to take this important step to turn things around?
  - What does this say about you?
- Linger over success... my clinical work to “polish the nugget”

11. Blame for Success - Variation ‘Expert Consultant’

- Two variations...
  - 1. Teach others
  - 2. Tell another program participant with the same problem
  - What would you tell another person who might have to go through this?
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Emphasize Personal Choice and Control
(A note about empowerment)

- Corrections suffers from a reigning - but inaccurate—assumption that defendant's "don't have a choice."
  - Defendant's always have the choice
to take the consequences

12. Emphasize Personal Choice and Control

- 1. It's your decision
- 2. Here's what will happen if you......
- 3. But it's still you choice,
  You have control over this.
  (What do you think you'll do?)

13. Pre-session Change Question

- From the time of setting up the first appointment and showing up...
- Research at the BFTC (Milwaukee) >66%
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Motivational Interviewing (MI): Benefits for Treatment Courts

9 Points to Consider

1. Motivational interviewing aligns your treatment court with evidence-based practice for substance use disorders.
   In 2008, MI was listed on the SAMHSA National Registry of Evidence-Based Programs & Practices (NREPP). Adoption of MI allows credibility for using researched-based practice, service integrity across multiple professional domains as well as establishing demonstrations / justifications to funding sources.

2. MI prepares program participants for the work of change.
   Program participants need to prepare for change. This is as true for offenders as it is for the rest of us. We are seldom taught to prepare people for change—instead, we jump to problem solving, planning, encouraging positive talk, and the like, ignoring or bypassing the need to orient to change work. This orientation includes raising the participant's sense that change is important to them (beyond avoiding sanctions) and that they have the confidence and ability to make the change(s). Compliance is important, but change must be our final goal.

3. Research finds the use of MI increases (a) engagement and (b) retention in treatment.
   Start with engagement or don't start at all. And one of the most consistent findings from addiction studies is that the longer one stays in treatment, the better the outcomes (NDCI, 2008). Starting into treatment (engagement, increasing motivation) and staying in treatment (retention) is a powerful combination.

3. MI equips all treatment team roles to assist change – not just the treatment provider(s).
   The unique characteristic of a treatment court is all program staff share in the treatment mission (Judge, prosecutor, defense counsel, case manager – probation officer, assessor-treatment provider, etc). Yet few beyond those who occupy traditional treatment roles are adequately trained in how to effectively interact with program participants to increase their readiness to change. MI allows all roles to increase their treatment skills. Adds a treatment “multiplier.”

4. MI extends a working knowledge of human motivation and the process of positive human behavior change.
   It is frustrating that most treatment court team members (even counselors) lack a working knowledge of human motivation and the process of positive behavior change. MI adoption will build critical knowledge about assisting change that no team member should be without.

5. Motivational interviewing suggests effective ways of handling resistance and can keep difficult situations from getting worse.
   Motivation is not a fixed characteristic, like adult height or having brown eyes. Instead, it is a condition or state, and it can be raised or lowered by how we interact with program participants. The best sanction is one that never has to be delivered. Teams understand that all staff need to develop a supportive counseling style. MI can train all staff, including Judges, lawyers, officers to improve their style of interacting. Learn to work with those who don't want to work with you.
6. MI can be a stand-alone or used adjunct to treatment approaches or services already in place.

Treatment courts access a wide-range of community programs for their participants. Use MI as a stand-alone to increase client-engagement and increase the readiness to change. Or, use it adjunct to existing methods or treatments approaches already in place. Your treatment court and the multiple helping domains can all be “on the same page” for language, methods and consistency of service.

7. Efficient use of time-limited interactions.

Constant arguing, persuading or confronting is a poor use of the limited time that team members spend with program participants. MI can improve the value and impact of compressed interactions staff have with program participants. MI is proven to reduce aimless chatter by staff and keep staff-participant conversations focused on objectives and goals (Martino, et al, 2008). We seldom—if ever—change anyone in a short time frame, but MI offers methods and strategies to “raise the odds” and improve the likelihood that short talks will prove helpful.

8. Motivational Interviewing shifts the balance of responsibility, making treatment court staff “agents of change” rather than responsible for change.

Trying to persuade someone to do something they don’t want to do is exhausting, and many treatment team members are exhausted. When MI is practiced correctly, your program participant voices the reasons for change (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). Court personnel have found that using MI lowers their level of frustration and renews commitment and vitality to their work with offenders (Stinson & Clark, in press).

9. Training and coaching resources are readily available to treatment court teams and adjunct treatment court agencies (community stakeholders).

MI has been trained to the addictions field, probation & parole, detention facilities, child welfare, employment services, mental health, schools, juvenile courts, judiciary, attorneys, social work and family counselors. This approach also has fidelity measures to determine if the practice is (a) being used by team members and (b) to what extent. Blended learning formats are also available for sustainability and continued skill building. Adopt it and keep it growing over time.
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MOVING FROM COMPLIANCE TO BEHAVIOR CHANGE: MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING AND THE FIELD OF CORRECTIONS
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Probation and parole agencies strive to reach several service goals, yet so much of a court’s focus can be compacted into two missions of critical importance. The first mission is to stabilize problem behavior and bring into control any behavior that disrupts or threatens our citizens and communities. Courts have a social mandate to bring into control that which is “out of control.” The second mission is to assist positive behavior change and to provide assistance to enable adults under supervision to attain optimum health. Both of these missions operate in tandem for the safety of our communities via the development and increased well-being of its citizens.

Motivational Interviewing (MI) is an approach that is gaining notice and popularity across the field of corrections as it helps supervising officers with their mission to assist behavior change. As the name suggests, MI is a method for interviewing clients. However, many who become skilled in its use would argue that it’s more than a method for interviewing—it informs and influences direct practice efforts as well.

Motivational Interviewing gained a foothold in probation departments in the 1990s, and the use of this approach has been expanding ever since. The answer to why community-based and facility personnel would turn to the strategies and skill sets of MI may be found when one reviews several definitions of the approach. Miller and Rollnick (2002) for example, provide a formal definition of MI as a person-centered, directive method of communication for enhancing intrinsic motivation to change by exploring and resolving ambivalence. Consider two more definitions, in simpler terms:

• It’s a way of using questions and statements strategically to help people think and talk in a positive direction.

• It’s an easy way of helping people find their own reasons for change.

Motivational Interviewing has been embraced by both the U.S. Department of Justice and the National Institute of Corrections (NIC) for its ability to lessen resistance and increase offender motivation (National Institute of Corrections, 2003). Motivational Interviewing gained a foothold in probation departments in the 1990s, and the use of this approach has been expanding ever since. The answer to why community-based and facility personnel would turn to the strategies and skill sets of MI may be found when one reviews several definitions of the approach. Miller and Rollnick (2002) for example, provide a formal definition of MI as a person-centered, directive method of communication for enhancing intrinsic motivation to change by exploring and resolving ambivalence. Consider two more definitions, in simpler terms:

• It’s a way of using questions and statements strategically to help people think and talk in a positive direction.

• It’s an easy way of helping people find their own reasons for change.

Beyond a method for interviewing, MI...
MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING (Continued from page 1)

offers direction to the field of corrections in order to answer a critical set of questions:

- How do people change?
- How can staff assist offenders to engage in important alterations in their behavior?
- How can staff lend assistance for enduring behavior change—the type of “self-propelled” change that continues long after the person has been dismissed from court jurisdiction?

It is in the answers to these all-important questions that the utility of an MI approach will be found. Many turn to MI because it represents an investigation into the conditions that build cooperation and increase human motivation, and has been successful in teaching court personnel how to best assist those under supervision towards positive behavior change.

Why would correction departments want to implement MI?

Motivational Interviewing provides a structured and proven method for assisting behavior change.

Go back beyond the last 2 decades and you’ll find that criminal justice suffered from a lack of proven methods for reducing recidivism (Andrews & Bonta, 2003). Today, it is almost unimaginable that our field ever operated without practice methods being studied and empirically validated through rigorous science. Science-based methods for corrections work were a focus of the NIC’s Evidence-Based Policy and Practice Initiative, which included MI among eight principles of effective interventions that reduce the risks of recidivism (NIC, 2003). The NIC points to confirming research that it’s MI techniques rather than persuasion tactics that motivate individuals both for initiating and maintaining behavior change (NIC, 2003). From NIC’s perspective then, it not only seems reasonable but logical that corrections departments and others in the field, including court services, might benefit from using MI techniques.

Motivational Interviewing can help staff get back into the game of behavior change.

Historically, motivation has been viewed as a more-or-less fixed characteristic of clients. That is, an offender is usually presented with a certain motivational profile, and until he/she was ready to make changes there was not much you could do to influence shifts in behavior. Under this model, the supervising officer becomes an enforcer of the court’s orders, but not necessarily an active participant in the offender’s behavior change. Motivational Interviewing teaches justice staff that motivation is not a fixed trait—something you either have or you don’t. Instead, motivation is more akin to a “state” and a state that can be influenced.

Motivational Interviewing suggests effective tools for handling resistance and can keep difficult situations from getting worse.

Since motivation has been viewed more like a fixed trait of the defendant, it has been thought that if persons enter probation departments displaying little motivation, then the best strategy is to attempt to break through their denial, rationalization, and excuses:

- “You’ve got a problem.”
- “You have to change.”
- “You’d better change your ways, or else!”

Space prohibits a review of the many studies that have found that a confrontational counseling style limits effectiveness. Miller, Benefield, and Tonnigan (1993), however, found that a directive-confrontational counselor style produced twice the resistance, and only half as many positive client behaviors as did a supportive, client-centered approach. Problems are compounded as a confrontational style not only pushes success away, but can actually make matters worse. Although many probation staff rightly object, “We’re not counselors!—our job is to enforce the orders of the court (maintain facility safety),” this claim only serves to highlight the need for strategies to help staff get back in the game of behavior change.

Motivational Interviewing keeps officers from doing all the work, and makes interactions more change-focused.

Interactions are more change-focused when the officer understands where change comes from. Staff trained in MI can turn away from a confrontational style or logic-based approach as they learn about the process of behavior change. Many in probation believe that what causes change are the services provided to the offender, whether that involves treatment, the threat of punishment, advice, education, or monitoring their activities. These conditions and services represent only part of the picture—and not necessarily the most important part. Research shows that long-term change is more likely to occur for intrinsic reasons (Deci & Ryan, 1985). Often the things that we assume would be motivating to the offender simply aren’t. Thus, motivation is, in part, a process of finding out what things are valued and reinforcing to the individual under supervision.

Change-focused interactions place the responsibility for behavior change on the offender. During MI training, we use an attractive (and accurate) phrase: “When MI is done correctly, it is the offender who voices the arguments for change.” The first step in getting the individual thinking and talking about change is by staff establishing an empathic and collaborative relationship, which includes watching and

(Continued on page 4)
listening to find out what the person values and if his or her current behavior is in conflict with these deeply-held values.

Motivational Interviewing calls our attention to this key idea:

It is discrepancy that underlies the perceived importance of change; no discrepancy, no motivation. The discrepancy is generally between present status and a desired goal, between what is happening and how one would want things to be (one’s goals).

It is within this discrepancy that the material will be found for amplifying the defendant’s own reasons for change. When working with offenders who see no problem with their illegal behavior, it is essential that an officer have the skills to create an “appetite” for change. Creating this appetite for change involves creating ambivalence.

Motivational Interviewing will change who does the talking.

Motivational Interviewing techniques focus on strategically steering a conversation in a particular direction—yet steering in itself is worthless without the ability to move the conversation forward. Consider how probation officers often work much harder than their probationers. As part of a qualitative research project, Clark (2005) videotaped actual office appointments between probationers and their assigned supervising officers. The finding was that, in office visits averaging 15 minutes in length, officers “out-talk” their probationers by a large margin. For instance, in one session, 2,768 words were spoken between officer and offender. The breakdown? The officer spoke a hefty 2,087 words out of this total while the probationer spoke only 681 words. Although listening by itself is no guarantee of behavior change, using strategies to get the person talking is a prerequisite to being an effective motivational interviewer.

In interactions like this, officers are literally talking themselves out of effectiveness. The problem is not so much that the officer is doing all the talking, but rather that the offender is not. It stands to reason that the more the officer is talking, the less opportunity there is for the person who is under supervision to talk and think about change. Compliance can occur without the officer listening and the offender feeling understood—the same cannot be said if one wants to induce behavior change.

Postscript

Motivational Interviewing can enable courts and facilities to help clients build commitment and reach a decision to change. Rather than remaining “stuck” in the problem, court staff using Motivational Interviewing techniques can move those we work with toward healthier outcomes.
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Motivational Interviewing with Offenders
Engagement, Rehabilitation, and Reentry

Jill D. Stinson, PhD
Michael D. Clark, MSW

“This is a book that many of us have been anticipating for a long time. MI is one of a small number of true evidence-based (and strengths-based!) success stories in offender rehabilitation. This book brings the technique alive in a way that I hope will transform theory and practice in the field.”
—Shadd Maruna, PhD, Professor of Criminology, University of Manchester, United Kingdom

“Whether you deliver direct treatment or offender supervision services, manage programs responsible for achieving improved offender outcomes, or design systemwide interventions to improve public safety, this refreshingly readable book articulates a proven way forward. While a court may order treatment, it cannot order lasting change—commitment to real change and long-term recovery is always a choice. This book outlines the essentials for helping an offender to make that choice and move from conflict, to compliance, to commitment. I highly recommend it for anyone working with justice system-involved individuals, and especially those working in treatment courts.”
—Terrence D. Walton, MSW, CSAC, Chief Operating Officer, National Association of Drug Court Professionals

From experts on working with court-mandated populations, this book shows how motivational interviewing (MI) can help offenders move beyond resistance or superficial compliance and achieve meaningful behavior change. Using this evidence-based approach promotes successful rehabilitation and reentry by drawing on clients’ values, goals, and strengths—not simply telling them what to do. The authors clearly describe the core techniques of MI and bring them to life with examples and sample dialogues from a range of criminal justice and forensic settings. Of crucial importance, the book addresses MI implementation in real-world offender service systems, including practical strategies for overcoming obstacles.

Find full information about this title online: www.guilford.com/p/stinson2
Motivational Interviewing (MI) has become a favored Evidence-Based Practice because it’s been found to increase engagement between staff and program participant and improves retention in services with those we work with. Why the demand for MI in Treatment Courts? Because it’s a helpful way of assisting people in finding their own reasons for change. MI is for working with people in your treatment courts who are more resistant, angry or reluctant to change.

20 WEB COURSES
Motivational Interviewing (MI) for Treatment Courts

The most comprehensive series published for internet-based training in Motivational Interviewing

Unlimited Access for a Full Year or Two Years!

Created for busy staff with no prior training in MI, or have completed training but need to continue building skills.

MI 20
Busy staff can take the courses when their schedule allows

PEER GROUP 20
Then staff can meet in small groups and use companion booklets that are available for each Web Course – all to assist continued development and skill-building

Discounts for group sign ups
Contact the Center for Strength-Based Strategies
(517) 244-0654
www.buildmotivation.com
Quick Guide to the “MI -20” / “Peer Group 20” Course Topics

Module 1: Introduction to Motivational Interviewing
Module 2: The Spirit of Motivational Interviewing
Module 3: The Four (4) Processes and Three (3) Styles of Motivational Interviewing
Module 4: The Four (4) Principles of Motivational Interviewing
Module 5: Client-Centered Counseling Skills (I): Open Questions and Affirmations
Module 6: Client-Centered Counseling Skills (II): Reflections
Module 7: Client-Centered Counseling Skills (III): Summaries
Module 8: Resistance Examined: New Tools for an Old Problem
Module 9: Recognizing and Eliciting Change Talk
Module 10: Developing Discrepancy
Module 11: Responding and Reinforcing Change Talk
Module 13: Deepening Change Talk
Module 14: From Evoking to Planning: Change Planning with the Client
Module 15: Strengthening Commitment – Supporting Action towards Change
Module 16: Problem Discussions, Giving Advice and Exchanging Information with an MI Perspective
Module 17: Navigating the Tough Times: Working with Deception, Breaks with Agency Mandates and Sanctions
Module 18: Learning Motivational Interviewing - Experiencing A New Approach to Service Delivery
Module 19: A Deeper Look at Engagement and Focusing: Learning How to Walk Together - Towards the Same Destination
Module 20: From Start to Finish: Putting Motivational Interviewing Into Practice
Bibliography – Motivational Interviewing, the Strengths Perspective & Feedback-Informed Treatment


Stinson, Jill & Clark, Michael (In Press) “Motivational Interviewing For Offender Rehabilitation and Reentry” (Guilford Press – Miller/Rollnick Motivational Interviewing Series).


Center for Strength-Based Strategies / www.trainML.com